

EU AI Act vs Interim Measures for Generative AI Service Management

Editorial verdict: **both silent** — see comparison narrative below.

EU · BINDING REGULATION

EU AI Act

EU-AIA-2024

TREATMENT OF COMPUTE + MODEL-WEIGHT EXPORT CONTROLS

silent

EU AIA does not address compute / weight export controls; lives in dual-use Regulation (EU) 2021/821

Primary source: [Regulation \(EU\) 2024/1689](#)

CN · BINDING REGULATION

Interim Measures for Generative AI Service Management

CN-GENAI-2023

TREATMENT OF COMPUTE + MODEL-WEIGHT EXPORT CONTROLS

silent

GenAI Measures do not address compute export; lives in Export Control Law + MOFCOM rules

Primary source: [CAC Order No. 15](#)

What this comparison shows

Neither instrument addresses this topic. This is a policy lacuna — there is no incumbent canonical position from either regime, so future regulator activity on this topic shapes the entire field.

Contested question: Should compute + weight export controls govern by (a) recipient jurisdiction, (b) capability tier of the controlled artifact, or (c) end-use intent? Each rule generation has shifted between these frames.

Bibliography

1. [Regulation \(EU\) 2024/1689](#) — EU AI Act.
2. [CAC Order No. 15](#) — Interim Measures for Generative AI Service Management.
3. [Policy Window — Compute + Model-Weight Export Controls](#) (cross-jurisdiction topic article with full coverage matrix).