

EU AI Act vs UK Pro-Innovation Approach to AI Regulation (White Paper)

Editorial verdict: **both silent** — see comparison narrative below.

EU · BINDING REGULATION

EU AI Act

EU-AIA-2024

TREATMENT OF DEVELOPMENT-RIGHTS FRAMINGS

silent

EU framework is rights-based but rooted in EU-charter rights, not development-rights doctrine

Primary source: [Regulation \(EU\) 2024/1689](#)

UK · POLICY STATEMENT

UK Pro-Innovation Approach to AI Regulation (White Paper)

UK-WHITEPAPER-2023

TREATMENT OF DEVELOPMENT-RIGHTS FRAMINGS

silent

Not in UK AI-governance vocabulary

Primary source: [CP 815 \(2023\)](#)

What this comparison shows

Neither instrument addresses this topic. This is a policy lacuna — there is no incumbent canonical position from either regime, so future regulator activity on this topic shapes the entire field.

Contested question: Is development-rights framing compatible with the EU AIA's rights-based framing, or do they conflict on operational decisions (e.g., who can deploy frontier models in developing economies)?

Bibliography

1. [Regulation \(EU\) 2024/1689](#) — EU AI Act.
2. [CP 815 \(2023\)](#) — UK Pro-Innovation Approach to AI Regulation (White Paper).
3. [Policy Window — Development-Rights Framings](#) (cross-jurisdiction topic article with full coverage matrix).