

EU AI Act vs Executive Order 14110 on Safe, Secure, Trustworthy AI

Editorial verdict: **converges** — see comparison narrative below.

EU · BINDING REGULATION

EU AI Act

EU-AIA-2024

TREATMENT OF FOUNDATION MODELS / GPAI

governs

Arts. 51-55 (general-purpose AI + systemic risk)

Primary source: [Regulation \(EU\) 2024/1689](#)

US · EXECUTIVE ORDER

Executive Order 14110 on Safe, Secure, Trustworthy AI

US-EO-14110

TREATMENT OF FOUNDATION MODELS / GPAI

governs

§4.2(a) — Defense Production Act reporting

Primary source: [Exec. Order No. 14110, 88 Fed. Reg. 75191 \(Nov. 1, 2023\)](#)

What this comparison shows

The two regimes take the same position. This is a candidate for cross-jurisdictional alignment in industry guidance, voluntary codes, and harmonisation tracks.

Contested question: Does the foundation-model category map to a coherent capability tier, or is it a regulatory convenience? Compute-threshold vs behavioural-threshold debate is unresolved across EU/US/China.

Bibliography

1. [Regulation \(EU\) 2024/1689](#) — EU AI Act.
2. [Exec. Order No. 14110, 88 Fed. Reg. 75191 \(Nov. 1, 2023\)](#) — Executive Order 14110 on Safe, Secure, Trustworthy AI.
3. [Policy Window — Foundation Models / GPAI](#) (cross-jurisdiction topic article with full coverage matrix).